ADDENDUM No. 11

RFP 11-12/01 Merritt College Science & Allied Health Center

This Addendum modifies the original RFP Documents for the above RFP. Acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the PROPOSAL FORM, 1.05. Failure to do so may subject Bidder to disqualification.

QUESTIONS ASKED AND ANSWERED

1. Section 00 1119, Paragraph 3.OLE provides a list of twelve items to be included in the Proposal, Paragraph 4.03, Paragraph 4.03 provides a list of the nine grading factors. Please clarify which list is to be followed in how the Proposal is to be organizes.

Response: Proposals must comply with both lists of requirements. Section 3.01 is a list of the minimum qualification compliance information required. Section 4.03 is a list of requirements for evaluation.

2. The following items are to be submitted in the Proposal (Section 00 119.3.01), but where are they to be evaluated (graded):
   a. Proposal Security (Security to include construction security and security watch to deter construction theft.)
   b. Document 00 4519 (Non-Collusion Affidavit)
   c. Letter from Surety
   d. Letter Confirming Qualifications
   e. Proposed Staffing Plan for the Project
   f. Summary Design/Construction Schedule
   g. Schedule of Major Equipment and Materials
   If these items are part of one of the nine Evaluation Factors, please clarify which one and what is the point value of these items compared to the overall Evaluation Factor points.

Response: Refer to Section 4.03 regarding Evaluation Factors. Items A-G listed above is information requested in Section 3.01 satisfying the requirements for minimum qualification compliance are not as weighted factors.

3. It would be helpful if we could obtain the detailed output data from the energy model that was created for the bridging criteria for review. Only the summary of the model was provided in an Appendix. This information is necessary to verify assumptions made by the Owner's Team.

Response: A copy of the model in gbxml format is available at the District Offices. Please see Response to Questions,
4. Addendum No. 7, Question/Answer No. 1: please clarify, is the answer 20 pages having information on front and back or 40 pages having information on front and back?

Response: The page limit is 40 pages printed back and front.

5. It has been brought to our attention that the Prodema product has had multiple failures locally and has either been replaced or additional warranty has been provided. Can substituting this material be allowed?

Response: Please note that Prodema is not listed as a manufacturer in Section 07 4623, Exterior Grade Wood Composite Panels. A similar product produced by Parklex is cited however. Two alternate panel façade products were included as potential substitutions and other materials proposed by the Design-Builder may be considered by the District.

6. At the pre-bid meeting there was discussion on changing the limits of site work to be included in the Alternate in lieu of the Base Proposal, utilities that were going to be done by others as well as alternate interior finishes. Are these issues going to be addressed in the future or if not please inform all Bidders that the scope of work has not changed.

Response: The approach to Site work was reviewed at the 9.15.2011 meeting and is graphically depicted in the attached file. Base bid for proposals includes the area in the immediate vicinity of the building only. The Design-Builder may propose options to detain stormwater and achieve LEED open space credits while maintaining disabled access to the entries and vehicular connection to the service dock.

7. If the RFP has a 40 page limit requirement, will drawings, life cycle estimates, etc. be counted as part of the 40 pages or can they considered attachments?

Response: Life cycle estimates, drawings, and other materials reflecting creativity should be identified in an appendix.

8. Please reconsider the Proposal due date if an Addendum is to be issued just three days prior to the Proposal due date.

Response: The District has received a great deal of questions. Responses will be posted as quickly as possible well before the proposal due date of November 3, 2011.

9. General Room Data Sheet materials vs Contract Finishes Documents do not correspond. If a discrepancy takes place between these documents, please confirm that the Contract Documents govern.

Response: The Program/ Room Data Sheets has been provided for general informational purposes only. Materials descriptions in the Bridging Documents (Drawings and Specifications) prevail.

10. Room Data Sheet 6-46, please verify that all of the equipment except for the white board is Owner Furnished and Installed.

Response: Please see response to question 9 above.
11. General Room Data Sheet comment. Present Laboratory Documents provides more casework than required by the Room Data Sheets in some rooms. Please advise on which document governs.

Response: Please see response to question 9 above

12. Room Data Sheet 6-124 'Simulation Suite' does not address the ceiling hung curtains. Other Room Data Sheets indicate that is equipment is Owner Furnished/Installed. It will be assumed that it is the same for this Room unless otherwise clarified in Addendum.

Response: Please see response to question 9 above

13. Drawing Q-203B/Q-137; Room Data Sheet 6-158; Appendix E 'Equipment Schedule': the storage shelving is indicated on Q-203 as dashed thus By Others and Appendix E shows to Indicates shelving to be by Owner, but Elevations (Q-137) and Room Data Sheet indicates to be by Contractor, please clarify.

Response: Please see response to question 9 above

14. Room Data Sheet 6-174 Key Note #15 states: 'No exterior windows'. Presently Radiology Energized Lab #1 has an exterior wall with window. Please clarify if interior full height lead shielded wall is required.

Response: Please see response to question 9 above

15. Drawing A-611; Doors 3107, 3108 A, 3108B and 3109, please clarify what door designation 113 is? We assume that they are lead shielded.

Response: Doors 3107 and 3109 must be lead-lined/shielded. Shielding is not required for Doors 3108A and 3108B. Additional clarification: for all lead-lined doors, please provide the following hardware:

| 1 Set Offset Pivot | 7230F | 626 IVE
| 1 Ea Intermediate Pivot | 7230F-INT | 626 IVE
| 1 Ea Classroom Lock | ND94GD RHO XN12-307 (LEAD LINED) | 626 SCH
| 1 Ea Dome Stop | FS436 | 626 IVE
| 1 Ea Auto Door Bottom | 225N LEAD LINED | CHA NGP
| 1 Set Seals | 5050C | CHA NGP

16. Copy Room off of Room 3200, 4003 and 4004 indicates a counter space. What is required in this area (i.e. base cabinet, wall cabinets, etc.)?

Response: Please provide Base cabinet with two (2) 36” wide double door cupboard units with a single drawer unit above each door; Wall cabinet (above base) (1) 30” high by 30” wide 2-door cupboard with mail bins; Data and electrical outlets for printers and coffee maker in this location. On the opposite wall, provide three (3) 24” high by 24” wide closed cupboard cabinets with 2 doors each. Maintain clear floor space (48”), with power outlets for multifunction copier (with fax) and open space adjacent for recycling bins. All casework construction is plastic-laminate faced.
17. Section 005200 Paragraph 2.04 states that Liquidated Damages are assessed against both Substantial and Final completion. We request the District amend this to apply only to Substantial completion as the Construction industry traditionally sees.

Response: This question will be addressed in an upcoming addendum.

18. Section 007253 Paragraph 3.01 states no change orders for existing conditions the Contractor 'should have know' as part of this work. The District has provided an existing geotechnical report which does not address hazardous material. Is it the intent of the District to not reimburse the Contractor if hazardous material is found or any other unforeseen below grade conditions are found that is different than what is shown in the report provided?

Response: The Design/Build firm must do the discovery, but if there are undiscovered site conditions or hazardous materials, the District may consider a partial compensation to the Design/Builder. However Design/Builder must do its diligence investigating site conditions.

19. Section 005201 Paragraph 2.03 states that the Bridging Documents supersede the Contractor's Proposal. Based upon the preliminary budgets are much greater than the stated budget how is the District to address this matter?

Response: The District has done its due diligence with regard to cost estimating and is reasonably sure that this project could be delivered within budget.

20. Section 003132 states that the site is available prior to contracting for investigation, but the District has not indicated if this activity should occur prior to submitting proposals. Please clarify.

Response: The site is open. Bidders are responsible for their own discovery. However, bidders requiring conducting intrusive exploration can set-up an appointment with the District’s Facilities Planning and Development Manager Atheria Smith. Please call 510-587-7864.

All other terms and conditions of RFP No. 11-12/01 to remain the same.
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project requirements
site development
building envelope
building systems
interior construction
site development: final build-out (reference only)
site development: basic scope clarification
site development: stormwater detention (d-b team optional location)
site development: LEED open space credits (d-b team optional selection)
site development: access improvements (add alternates)