June 1, 2011

ADDENDUM No. 1

Re: RFQ 10-11/32 BOND UNDERWRITING SERVICES

Acknowledge the receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the RFQ
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND SIGNATURE FORM (Attachment 11). Failure to do so
may subject bidder to disqualification.

Answers to RFI’s

Q1. In Section 2 of the submission requirements (Qualifications and
Experience), item (D) requests a list of relevant bond underwriting transactions
conducted in recent months. Should the list be limited to bonds issued by
California public agencies, or could it include bonds issued by agencies located
in any state?

A1. Transactions may include bonds issued by agencies located in any state.

Q2. In Section 3 of the submission requirements (Licensing, Registration...),
item (c) requests completed versions of Attachments 9 and 10. We assume that
these forms and their required documentation would be excluded from the 30-
page limit for the submission. Is this assumption accurate?

A2. Yes, attachments 9 and 10 are excluded from the thirty (30) page limit.

Q3. Attachment 8 requires evidence of various types of insurance. Is it
necessary to submit these items with our Statement of Qualifications, or would
they be required only at a later stage in the selection process?

A3. The District would like the documentation of evidence of insurance to be
provided with the Statement of Qualification.
Q4. *In regards to the pending Request for Qualifications (RFQ 10-11/32 Bond Underwriting Services), is Peralta CCD also looking for a consultant to fill the role of Financial Advisor? Was there a separate RFQ circulated? Is there an existing consultant taking care of this service already?*

A4. No, the Scope of this Request for Qualifications does not include a Financial Advisor. The District is currently contracting KNN Public Finance for this role.

Q5. *Has Peralta CCD considered looking into their Redevelopment collections to confirm the District is receiving accurate and complete amounts?*

A5. No, not at this time.