Present: Karolyn van Putten (President), Tae-Soon Park (Treasurer), Rochelle Olive (Secretary), Joseph Bielanski (Past President), Ed Jaramillo (COA), William Andrews (COA), Lisa Cook (Laney), Audrey Trotter (Merritt), Kelly Pernell (BCC)

Absent: Tamika Brown (Laney), Marta Zielke (Merritt)

Guest(s): Associate Vice Chancellor L. Sanford, Associate Vice Chancellor M. Orkin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION</th>
<th>FOLLOW UP ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. CALL TO ORDER</td>
<td>2:35pm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. STANDING ITEMS:</td>
<td>Accreditation (Reports and Updates)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accreditation Reports were due to the Board today, 2 Dec.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOT(^1) Policies (BP(^4)) and AP(^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review/Recommendation/Updates/Proposed revisions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change in the status of AP7121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peralta Triple SP (3SP) Update and Status at the colleges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All colleges have submitted their SSSP Plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. BOT &amp; DISTRICT ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER (DAC)</td>
<td>BOT &amp; DISTRICT ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER (DAC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVC Sanford reports that the Program Review Task Force has met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and is moving forward and that Diana Bajromi (faculty from COA) will be joining the task force providing her TaskStream expertise so that the recommendations from the task force may be implemented into TaskStream.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCCD Strategic Plan Update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVC Sanford presented the Strategic Plan draft with the following mega-issues highlighted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Rising demand for accountability
- Demographic change
- Rate of change

### CurricUNET Meta Inquiries Update
Vice Chancellor Orkin came to address CurricUNET Meta and respond to the DAS objection to going forward with the purchase of the new Program Review and Assessment modules of CurricUNET when the modules are not available for the district to demo. VC Orkin decided to purchase CurricUNET Meta upgrade without the modules and to stay with TaskStream (using Program Review and Assessment modules) for at least the next academic year allowing time for the new modules to be released and reviewed prior to purchasing.

### District Allocation of non-general fund dollars: Proposed Resolution Status
Latest draft based on college recommendations has been re-sent to colleges for endorsement

### Recommendation regarding release time funding for faculty participation in regional initiatives (e.g., Career Pathways, Adult Education)
Discussed motion to recommend to the DEC which reads “DAS strongly recommends the District Education Committee urge college presidents to use the Career Pathways Trust monies to plan for faculty release time (not stipends) in Spring 2015 to work on curriculum related to regional consortium initiatives in CTE, English, ESL, and math departments.”

*Motion unanimously passed*

### PASS proposals/status and Faculty Participation/Review of same

DAS rep Trotter shared the vision statement of the advisory group charged to review the district Equity Plan proposals which reads: “The objective of the advisory group is to develop or create a new district-level coordinated initiative which may be complimentary to existing programs and are designed to address the achievement gap of African American and Latino students.”

DAS Rep Trotter requested that the district hire at a cost of no more than $5000 a facilitator to help the advisory carry out its tasks.

---

President van Putten to forward recommendation on Faculty Participation in Career Pathways Trust to the DEC.
charge and that the work of the advisory group be completed by March 2015 in order for the group to make a recommendation that could be reviewed and implemented by Fall 2015.

VI. FOR ACTION AND/OR CONSIDERATION

❖ Election of a new DAS Recording Secretary

VI. NEW BUSINESS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Abbreviations:

❖ DAS – District Academic Senate
❖ PCCD – Peralta Community College District
❖ BOT – Board of Trustees
❖ DAC – District Administrative Center
❖ AP – Administrative Procedure
❖ BP – Board Policy


Academic Senate means an organization whose primary function is to make recommendations with respect to academic and professional matters.

Academic and Professional matters means the following policy development matters:

1. Curriculum, including establishing prerequisites.
2. Degree and certificate requirements.
3. Grading policies.
4. Educational program development.
5. Standards or policies regarding student preparation and success.
6. College governance structures, as related to faculty roles.
7. Faculty roles and involvement in accreditation processes.
8. Policies for faculty professional development activities.
9. Processes for program review.
10. Processes for institutional planning and budget development.
11. Other academic and professional matters as mutually agreed upon.

Consult collegially means that the district governing board shall develop policies on academic and professional matters through either or both of the following:

1. Rely primarily upon the advice and judgment of the academic senate, OR
2. The governing board, or its designees, and the academic senate shall reach mutual agreement by written resolution, regulation, or policy of the governing board effectuating such recommendations.

§ (SECTION) 53203 POWERS

A) The governing board shall adopt policies for the appropriate delegation of authority and responsibility to its college academic senate.
B) In adopting the policies described in section (a), the governing board or designee, shall consult collegially with the academic senate.
C) While consulting collegially, the academic senate shall retain the right to meet with or appear before the governing board with respect to its views and recommendations. In addition, after consultation with the administration, the academic senate may present its recommendations to the governing board.
D) The governing board shall adopt procedures for responding to recommendations of the academic senate that incorporate the following:

1. When the board elects to rely primarily upon the advice and judgment of the academic senate, the recommendation of the senate will normally be accepted, and only in exceptional circumstances and for compelling reasons will the recommendations not be accepted.