Committee: PBIM FACILITIES COMMITTEE DISTRICT-WIDE  
Date/Time: November 08, 2013, 9:00 am to 12:00 pm  
Absent:  Dettie Del Rosario, Carlotte Campbell, Gregory Valentine, Helena Lengel, Wai Li, Shirley Slaughter; Michael Dioquino, John Nahlem  
Excused:  Don Petrilli, Mary Beth Benvenutti  
Guest:  Johnnie Fudge, Mark Wilson, Calvin Madlock  
Facilitator:  Tina Vasconcellos  
Chair:  Dr. Ikharo B. Ikharo  
Co-Chairs:  Don Petrilli & Mike Lanbarkis  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
<th>Follow-up Action</th>
<th>Decisions (Shared Agreement/Resolved or Unresolved?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Meeting Called to Order</td>
<td>9:25 am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Agenda Review</td>
<td>Agenda passed, as presented</td>
<td></td>
<td>Motion by Louis Quindlen, 2nd by Bill Love to accept the agenda, as presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Approve Meeting Minutes</td>
<td>October 11, 2013 meeting minutes, previously sent to members, were reviewed and approved with minor changes.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Motion by Bill Love, 2nd by Mike Lanbarkis to approved the 10/11/13 meeting minutes with minor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- The updated College Emergency Facilities Maintenance & Repair Needs, sorted and ranked by the Colleges by order of priority, was presented to both the Bond Implementation Group (BIG) and the Chancellor’s Cabinet for review and discussions.  
- Funding recommendation included utilizing $400,000 from solar CSI rebates, $1.5 million deferred from Oakland redevelopment funds and $500,000 additional general funding to be determined by the Chancellor for a total of $2.4 million in funding to support immediate emergency projects, District-wide.  

A discussion ensued with comments and concerns shared:  
- There should be a plan so that funding for colleges emergency facilities maintenance needs are not based on leftover funds;  
- Concerns that the Colleges may receive unfavorable marks from the Accreditation Visiting Team for not having funds set aside to address college facilities infrastructure needs;  
- There may be substantial salary savings, why can’t this funding be utilized?  
- It is critical that immediate emergency facilities maintenance needs are address now not later because the need diminishes over time.  

VC Ikharo will continue to work with Finance to secure funding needed to address the Colleges’ immediate
emergency facilities maintenance needs
VC Ikharo also provided an update on the 2013/2014 Scheduled Maintenance Projects.
- $223,359 has been received with the District to match funds.
- List of projects (by order of priority) include:
  1. Laney - Central Plant Upgrade and HVAC Upgrades and replacements
  2. Merritt - Replace Bus Shelter
  3. COA – Replace Federal Pacific Switchgear
  4. BCC – Elevator Interior Replacement

| 5. Prop 39 Update | VC Ikharo gave a brief overview of Prop 39 which included the project funding eligibility criteria and potential project types. Manager Neal spoke briefly relating to funding dollars available to California community colleges, for workforce improvement programs. The grants are competitive grants and are intended for (a) community-based workforce organizations, (b) veterans, and (c) disadvantaged youths. It will be the responsibility of the Colleges to apply for grant funding. A memo has been sent to the College Leadership with contract information for the Proposition 39 Grant Funding for Work Force Improvement. PCCD will receive $658,748 for fiscal years 2013-14 with January 26, 2014 slated by the State as the deadline to submit projects applications. **Time is of the essence as funding may be reduced next fiscal year.** The goal is to identify projects that will receive the highest CCC/IOU rebate rates and to get as much funding as possible. At the request of committee members, Manager Neal will also forward contract information for the Proposition 39 Grant Funding for Work Force Improvement. |
Selected projects must be completed and operational by June 30, 2014.

As part of the shared-governance process, the Department of General Services has taken the lead to form a committee inviting representatives from each of the colleges to begin brainstorming possible projects that may be eligible for funding.

After a lengthy discussion the following resolution was presented for a vote:

*This body recommends that the expenditure for the Proposition 39 Bond funding in the amount of $658,748 be spent on College and District identified sustainable projects that have been vetted through the shared-governance process. The identified projects must lead to energy savings that will benefit the District’s strategic goals and objectives for student success.*

Motion by Bill Love, 2nd by Norma Ambriz-Galaviz to accept the resolution, as presented passed unanimously.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Budget Development for Emergency Maintenance, District-wide, 2013-2014 School Year (Budget Line Item Request from PBC)</th>
<th>VC Ikharo gave a briefing of the PBC October 28, 2013 meeting. DFC members that attended the PBC meeting included VC Ikharo, Brock Drazen and Don Petrilli. To begin to support this effort, PBC will discuss at the next PBC meeting a restricted allocation of one-time funds within the Unrestricted General Fund that will be used to support maintenance needs district-wide. BAM language supporting facility, maintenance and operation expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
planning will be presented for discussion with PBC sending a recommendation to the Chancellor.

PBC would like DFC to make a presentation on how the funding will be spent.

A discussion ensued relating to Budget Allocation Model (BAM). Comments and concerns included:

- Planning for emergencies does not make sense, there is a conflict.
- More information is needed regarding the BAM language and process.
- Students are concerned that funding to repair life-threatening facility maintenance items are not being supported. They plan to bring their concerns to the Chancellor.

7. Update: Capital Project Status Report

Director Fudge provided updates on the 2011-2012 Campus Facility Priority Needs List (6-months Short Term Projects). He also provided clarification to questions relating to specific projects.

Periodic updates will be provided at future meetings.

8. Reports: Campus Facilities Committees

Representatives from the College Facilities Committee reported on their committee’s activities.

Dr. Ikharo provided clarification to specific questions relating to college projects.

VC Ikharo mentioned that he will make arrangements to regularly attend College Facilities Committee meetings.
9. RECOMMENDATIONS TO OTHER PBIM COMMITTEES

| RESOLUTION – PROPOSITION 39 PASSED BY THE PBIM DISTRICT FACILITIES COMMITTEE (DFC) AT THE NOVEMBER 8, 2013 MEETING |
|---|---|
| This body recommends that the expenditure for the Proposition 39 Bond funding in the amount of $658,748 be spent on College and District identified sustainable projects that have been vetted through the shared-governance process. The identified projects must lead to energy savings that will benefit the District’s strategic goals and objectives for student success. |
| Facilitator Vasconcellos to prepare a memorandum and send resolution to PBC Chair. |

Motion by Bill Love, 2nd by Norma Ambriz-Galaviz to accept the resolution, as presented passed unanimously.

10. Adjournment: 11:30 am

11. Next meeting: December 6, 2013, 10:45 am to 12:45 pm


SUGGESTED AGENDA ITEMS:

- Budget Allocation Model (BAM) Process

Recorder: Rosemary Vazquez, Executive Assistant, General Services

All PBIM Committee Agendas and Minutes are posted on the Peralta Planning & Budget Integration Model webpage: [http://eperalta.org/wp/pbi/](http://eperalta.org/wp/pbi/)