DISTRICT-WIDE EXTERIOR LED LIGHTING UPGRADE

DOCUMENT 00 9113

ADDENDUM No. Two

March 26, 2014

PROJECT NO. 1960, BID NO. 13-14/20

Peralta Community College District

District-Wide Exterior LED Lighting Upgrade, 333 East 8th Street, Oakland, CA 94606

This addendum supersedes items of the original contract documents wherein it is inconsistent with it. All other conditions remain unchanged. The following changes, modifications, corrections, additions or clarifications shall apply to the contract documents and shall be made a part of and subject to all of the requirements thereof as if originally specified or shown. It is the responsibility of the bidder to review the list of attachments to ensure that the addendum is full and complete. This Addendum modifies the original Bid Documents for the above Bid. Acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the BID FORM. Failure to do so may subject Bidder to disqualification.

Answers to RFI

1. Is the SOQ requirement of 2.02 Submittals "D" Surety Letter being met with the 10% Bid Bond? The bid bond guarantees the bonding company is going to bond the project.

A: Yes, the Bid Bond satisfies this requirement in this case.

2. Is the SOQ requirement of 2.02 Submittals "C" Insurance Letter being met by producing an Insurance Cert verifying our company has proper insurance for the project? An Insurance Cert contains our liability coverage, Auto coverage and our Workers Comp coverage and what company holds our policies.

A: Yes, in this case, a Certificate of Insurance indicating sufficient coverage in Liability, Auto and Workers Compensation will satisfy the requirement set forth in the SOQ Article 2.02 section “E”. The awarded contractor will have to provide a certificate naming the District as additionally insured.

3. Can the District provide the entire Product/Model codes for the spec'd lighting fixtures? The Product/Model code should contain the Kelvin Color, Photo Cell (if wanted/cost increase) and mounting accessories (cost increase) needed for the product. If you want more than just the basic light fixture (as called out in Attachment A) then you need to provide the proper ordering code for the contractors to be quoted correctly from the Suppliers.

A: The model numbers provided in the RFP represent the minimum requirements that should be met for each fixture. Bidders are encouraged to standardize their product bids as much as possible given the existing fixture and site conditions on each campus. Please refer to Attachment A “Selection Criteria” for a prioritized list of considerations when selecting manufacturers and equipment.
4. During the Job walk there were some Cobra Head type fixture being replaced. Does the District have an approved replacement fixture for these lights and will "Attachment A" be updated to show the change?

A: The District has specified LED pole light fixtures to replace the ‘Cobra Head’ fixtures. The District acknowledges that in some cases these new fixtures may require adapters in order to attach the fixture to the existing pole. The winning bidder will be responsible for specifying these adapters.

5. Due to the time constraints of the project having to be completed and inspected by 6/30/14 will the District work with the contractor on the material spec’d?

A: The contractor is responsible for procurement and installation of specified equipment. The District will provide access and a place for equipment storage.

5a) Will the District give a time extension to the Contractor due to manufacture lead time for delivery of the spec’d fixtures?

A: The District expects that all submitted proposals demonstrate an ability to achieve project completion within the project timeline. The District acknowledges that equipment procurement may delay project implementation and requests that bidders include estimated procurement times in their proposals. Every effort must be made to complete the project within the defined timeline.

5b) Will the District allow the contractor to find a product by the approved manufactures for this project to meet the spec's that have shorter lead times so be project can be finished on time?

A: Yes, the District welcomes proposals that reduce the product procurement time without sacrificing the project quality, fixture standardization or 10 year warranty elements of the project. Please note that all proposed fixtures MUST be listed on the DLC-approved list at the time the proposal is submitted to the District. Please refer to: http://www.designlights.org/

6. Is the District aware that all of the Wall pack fixtures spec’d on the project are full cutoff?

A: The District is aware that some of the Wall Packs can be categorized as “full cutoff.” The winning bidder is responsible for adjusting the cutoff area (if deemed necessary by the contactor) depending on each individual fixture location and application.

7. Will the contractor be given an area for a container to hold the material?

A: Yes, the District will work with the winning bidder to identify a central, secure, on-campus space for material storage.

8. Are you going to post the Contractor sign in sheets for the mandatory pre-bid conference?

A: Yes, it is at the District website.
9. Are the flag pole lights going to be included in the lighting project?

A: No, the flag pole lights are not included in this project.

10. Are the LED pathway lights going to be included in the lighting project?

A: There are no LED to LED replacements specified for this project.

11. On the fixture list, Item 2, qty 230 specifies a Lithonia 142 watt fixture or a Leotek 85 watt fixture to replace 250 watt existing fixtures. I suspect this is a typo because the same Lithonia 142 watt fixture was used in item 1 to replace 400 watt existing fixtures. (I think the correct spec should probably be Lithonia DSX1LED 400C 700 using 89 watts).

A: Due to insufficient light levels and safety considerations, the District has intentionally chosen the retrofits detailed in Attachment A to remedy these issues. Item 2 is correct.

12. Item 7; Qty 25 Lithonia Model # 2VTLED is a lay-in fixture. (Spec should probably be Lithonia 2TLX2 which is a surface-mount fixture to match existing).

A: Item 7 is incorrect and has been revised to reflect the existing surface-mount fixture type.

13. Can you please provide as soon as possible the attachment A price tables and Appendix One Fixture Removal and Installation locations tables in excel format?

A: Yes, these documents will be available on the District website on March 26, 2014.

14. I had a few questions pertaining to the LED lighting upgrade project. Will there be any designated areas for staging?

A: Please refer to Question 7.

14a. Also, would you please provide us with the specs for the mounting brackets for the recommended LED light fixtures?

A: The winning bidder will be responsible for specifying the mounting brackets. Please include associated costs in your proposal.

END OF ADDENDUM TWO