August 27, 2013

ADDENDUM No. 1

RFP 13-14/08 PROFESIONAL SERVICES FOR TESTING AND SPECIAL INSPECTION, GEOTECHNICAL & GEOHAZARD CONSULTING SERVICES (T&I)

Pertinent Questions & Responses

1. The RFP section (I. Project Overview through V. Additional Requirements) is indicated as having a total of eight (8) pages, but only has six (6) pages included. How many should there be?
   
   Response: The RFP section is only six (6) pages long. [8/26/13]

2. Section III. Submission Requirements, item 8. Required Forms, makes reference to a maximum page count for submissions. Is there a maximum?
   
   Response: No maximum page count exists for this RFP. [8/26/13]

3. Is there a Baseline Geotechnical Report that is available to be reviewed to assume “transfer of Geotechnical Responsibility”?
   
   Response: Geotechnical Reports #1 & #2 have been posted to the PCCD website. [8/26/13]

4. It appears as if some information was omitted directly after the 3rd bullet under Item 2. Description of Firm (page 2), as highlighted below:

DESCRIPTION OF FIRM
A. Prime Firm
   ● History, number of years in business in California, staff size
   ● Location of office which will perform the work
   ● Size of staff if applicable one person firms may submit….

   Response: The 3rd bullet should read: “Size of staff. If applicable, one person firms may submit.” [8/26/13]
5. The first paragraph under section III. Submission Requirements, states:

Submittals may be submitted in each company’s own format or optional Proposals forms are attached for your use.

Are there optional forms that were not included in the RFP packet?

Response: No, there are no optional Proposal forms. Use the forms provided and any additional documents you deem pertinent. [8/26/13]

6. Does this project have a Project Labor Agreement governing the testing and inspection services?

Response: No. [8/26/13]

7. Does the District have a published list of approved SLEB & SELBE firms?

Response: No. [8/26/13]

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1