ADDENDUM

RFP No.: 17-18/30 BCC-MARR Design Phase Structural Testing and Inspection

May 8, 2018

ADDENDUM No. 1

This addendum supersedes items of the original contract documents wherein it is inconsistent with it. All other conditions remain unchanged. The following changes, modifications, corrections, additions or clarifications shall apply to the contract documents and shall be made a part of and subject to all of the requirements thereof as if originally specified or shown. It is the responsibility of the bidder to review the list of attachments to ensure that the addendum is full and complete.

This Addendum modifies the original Bid Documents for the above RFP.

Acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the BID FORM. Failure to do so may subject Bidder to disqualification.

The following questions were submitted regarding RFP No. 17-18/30:

1. Has an environmental study been conducted at the subject building? Is there presence of any potential hazardous material? If none thereof, should such study be included in this Proposal? If there is, can a report be distributed? And which party will be responsible for abatement?

Response:

In Attachment #11, Detailed Scope of Work, Section 3.0 Non-Structural Element Testing and Assessment, at the end of the section add:

"The scope of this contract does not include the identification, quantification, or abatement of hazardous materials. Studies previously performed, if any, and to the extent readily available, will be provided to the vendor for reference after award."

2. Repair work, outlines in the scope of services, includes steel welding and anchor bolt replacement. Does such repair require special inspection from a certified DSA inspector?

Response:

In Attachment #11, Detailed Scope of Work, Summary, at the end of the section add:

"Repairs associated with destructive testing will be subject to DSA inspection. DSA inspection of repair work will be procured separately from this RFP."
3. Section 2.1.1, 2.2.2 and 2.4.1 of the “Structural Material Testing and Assessment” discusses increase in frequency of testing in the event of low test result and/or high coefficient of variance. Should such increase in frequency of testing be accounted for with this Proposal? Or should a CO be issued for added time and testing?

Response:

The response to question 3 is already present in the RFP. Please see Section III.5, items g and h regarding the Fee Proposal and also Attachment 8, page 7, item 9, “Extra (Changed) Work” in the sample contract.

The following clarifications are issued regarding RFP No. 17-18/30:

4. Exterior Glazing and Spandrel

a. In Attachment #11, Detailed Scope of Work, Detailed Information, after item 5.1, add:

"5.2 Section 3.0 Progress Drawings (A1.51, A1.52) 2018 05 06 with markups (2 sheets, total)."

b. Document 5.2 is provided as a separate file with this Addendum. Incorporate Document 5.2 into the RFP.

c. In Attachment #11, Detailed Scope of Work, Section 3.0 Non-Structural Element Testing and Assessment, in the table at “Exterior Glazing System”, replace:

"(Narrow Operable Windows only)"

with:

"(Narrow Operable Windows Only – see Attachment #11, 5.2 Section 3.0 Progress Drawings)".

End of Addendum One